

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,808	04/26/2005	Yoram Palti	P-5488-US	8892
49443 7590 03/04/2010 Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP			EXAMINER	
1500 Broadway			SINGH, SATYENDRA K	
12th Floor New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tion Tolk, I'v	10000		1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	10/532,808	PALTI ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657

The minute 2012 of the communication appears on the core man the correspondence against
This application is abandoned in view of:
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>18 August 2009</u>.</li> <li>A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> <li>A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).</li> </ol>
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> </ol>
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:
See Continuation Sheet
/JON P WEBER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1657
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandomment: The applicant's attorney of record, Mr. Morey B. Wide (phone- 646-678-0800) was telephonically contacted on 26° Feb. 2010 by the Examiner to clarify the status of the instant application falls Rejection. Mr. Wildes, later on the same day, called the Examiner and informed that applicants have not filed an official response to the final rejection mailed by the office.

/Satyendra K. Singh/ Examiner, AU 1657.